Volume 101, Number 2 Spring, 2021

Horticultural News

Editors: Winfred P. Cowgill, Jr. & Wesley R. Autio

The New Jersey State Horticultural Society was organized on August 17, 1875 at Geological Hall, Rutgers College, New Brunswick, NJ. It remains the oldest Horticultural organization in New Jersey.

Horticultural News began as the *The New Jersey State Horticultural Society News*, in October of 1920. The Society began "collecting paid membership in order to obtain funds to promote new features the society and extend the usefulness of the society. The Horticultural Society News was started to be the official society publication." Published M. A. Blake, Professor at Rutgers College was the first president and chair of the publication committee.



Editors served as follows:

serveu as ronows.	
MA Blake	1920 - 1947
Norman F Childers	1948 - 1980
Win Cowgill	1981 - 1988
Emily Brown Rosen	1988 - 1990
Linda Butenis Vorsa	1991 - 1995
Jerry Frecon	1995 - 2010

June 2010: *Horticultural News* has moved to an online web-based format. The New Jersey State Horticultural Society has partnered with the University of Massachusetts *Fruit Notes*, Dr. Wesley Autio, Editor. Cowgill and Autio will be the new editors of *Horticultural News* and *Fruit Notes*.

Horticultural News is distributed to growers, extension personnel and researchers and libraries across North America. Horticultural News focuses primarily on tree-fruit culture, but addresses small-fruit cultural issues as well. Most reports are from current research at Rutgers University, University of Massachusetts, and other universities.

Horticultural News is published four times per year by the New Jersey State Horticultural Society. It is provided as a benefit to membership in the society. Membership costs \$50 per year. Each one-year subscription begins January 1 and ends December 31. Payments via check must be in United States currency and should be payable to the New Jersey State Horticultural Society. Horticultural News Electronic subscriptions are available as benefit of membership in the NJ State Horticultural Society. A hidden link will be mailed immediately after publication to members. Issues will be made freely available on this website six months after publication.

Correspondence should be sent to: New Jersey State Horticultural Society, Greg Donaldson, 176 Airport Road, Hackettstown, NJ 07840, TEL (908) 296-1064.

For advertising opportunities, contact: Win Cowgill: wincowgill@mac.com; (908) 489-0207

Table of Contents

Evaluation of Entomopathogenic Nematodes Against Plum Curculio: Effects of Nematode Species, Application Rates, and Persistence in the Soil Jaime C. Piñero, Prabina Regmi, Dorna Saadat, Ajay Giri, Tracy C. Leskey, and David Shapiro-Ilan	
Evaluation of CIDETRAK® CMDA + LR DUAL MESO™ as a Mating Disruption Tool for the	
Management of Codling Moth and Obliquebanded Leafroller in Apple Orchards Ajay P. Giri and Jaime C. Piñero	
2021 Periodical Cicada in New Jersey and Pennsylvania	
Win Cowgill	
2020 Update on NC-140 Fuji and Honeycrisp Apple Rootstock Trials in New Jersey	
Megan Muehlbauer, Rebecca Magron, and Win Cowgill	
New Jersey News	

Cover: Black Pearl Sweet Cherry in Oregon at Professor Lynn Long's, Oregon State University variety trial. Black Pearl is a release from International Plant Management: http://intplant.com/index.php/cultivars/cherries/blackpearl. Black Pearl was bred at the New York State Agricultural Experiment Station in Geneva, NY. See also the article on the Pearl Series: http://umassfruitnotes.com/v77n1/a1.pdf. Photo Credit: Win Cowgill.

Evaluation of Entomopathogenic Nematodes Against Plum Curculio: Effects of Nematode Species, Application Rates, and Persistence in the Soil

Jaime C. Piñero, Prabina Regmi, Dorna Saadat, and Ajay Giri Stockbridge School of Agriculture, University of Massachusetts

Tracy C. Leskey USDA ARS Appalachian Fruit Research Laboratory, Kearneysville, WV

David Shapiro-Ilan USDA ARS SE Fruit and Tree Nut Research Unit, Byron, GA

The use of biological control agents such as entomopathogenic (= insect-killing) nematodes for insect pest control is gaining interest among fruit growers. EPNs are very small, soft bodied, non-segmented roundworms that are parasites of insects. EPNs are commercially available and are used to kill a wide variety of economically important insect pests. EPNs occur naturally in soil environments and locate their prey in response to carbon dioxide, vibration, and other chemical cues. In general terms, EPNs are considered environmentally friendly non-chemical alternatives to controlling pests. For example, they are safe for humans and the environment and are not considered threats to beneficial insects or other non-target organisms. EPNs can be used in organic production systems. In addition, they can be applied using standard pesticide equipment (for a short video showing EPN application at the UMass Cold Spring Orchard, click HERE), and there is no need for personal protective equipment and re-entry restrictions.

In New England, EPNs have been evaluated against plum curculio larvae in multiple farms for nearly a decade. Combined results from multiple published studies indicate that (1) *Steinernema riobrave* and *S. carpocapsae* have emerged as the EPNs species that are most effective at killing the immature stages of plum curculio in the soil, and (2) EPNs can be applied to the soil in areas underneath the canopies of odor-baited trap trees (see Piñero et al., 2020), areas that are expected to hold greater densities of plum curculio compared to any other trees in the orchard. The economic feasibility of using EPNs applied underneath the canopies of trap trees is very promising because, even if high rates of nematodes are applied, such applications would only need to be made to a small proportion of the acreage.

Here, we compared the performance of the EPNs *S. riobrave* and *S. carpocapsae*, evaluated at various application rates, at killing plum curculio larvae in the soil. A secondary objective was to estimate EPN persistence by exposing EPN-treated soil to wax moth larvae (*Galleria mellonella*) about 8 weeks after initial EPN application in the field.

Materials & Methods


Field study. The field component of this study took place at the University of Massachusetts Cold Spring Orchard (Belchertown, MA) from 16 July to 30 September, 2020. In early July 2020, we collected apple fruitlets presumably infested with plum curculio from unmanaged trees in the Amherst/Belchertown areas. Upon collection, the fruit was stored at ambient

Treatments	Nematode application rate
Steinernema riobrave (low rate)	500,000 IJ/m ²
S. riobrave (high rate)	2 million IJ/ m ²
S. carpocapsae (low rate)	500,000 IJ/m ²
S. carpocapsae (high rate)	2 million IJ/ m ²
S. riobrave +S. carpocapsae (low rate)	250,000 IJ S.r. + 250,000 IJ S.c.) $/m^2$
S. riobrave +S. carpocapsae (high rate)	1 million IJ S.r. + 1 million IJ S.c.)/ m^2
Control	Water only

Table 1. Entomopathogenic nematode (EPN) treatments applied to the soil at the UMass Cold Spring Orchard (Belchertown, MA) against the immature stages of plum curculio.

temperature for about 10 days to allow plum curculio larvae to continue developing. On 16 July, the fruit was

transported to an unsprayed section of the orchard.



View of the experimental site showing the pyramidal emergence traps used for the quantification of adult plum curculio emergence after the application of the EPNs *Steinernema riobrave* and *S. carpocapsae*, at various application rates, or water (control). Trap dimensions: 1 m x 1 m at the base.


EPN treatments. Steinernema riobrave and S. car-

pocapsae, were evaluated alone and in combination, at two application rates (low and high; Table 1). Water was used as a control. Sixty fruitlets and 33 plum curculio larvae were placed underneath the canopies of each of 28 apple trees, within a 1 m² area. EPNs were applied at the rates described in Table 1, using 3.78 L of water, and the same amount of water alone was applied to the control. After treatment application, the emergence cages were placed on the ground, covering the fruit, and the edges of the cages were buried in the soil to ensure the emerged adults would not escape. To preserve soil moisture, we added 3.78 L of water to each experimental area three days after initial EPN application. As soon as the first adult plum curculio was captured in the topping device of the cages, small pieces of apple were placed inside the device as an attractant. The emergence of adult plum curculios from the experimental cages was recorded twice a week for 5 weeks starting on 3 August,

2020. Total weevil emergence from each of the 28 experimental cages (7 treatments * 4 replications) over a 5-week period was used for the statistical analyses.

Evaluation of EPN persistence in the soil. A follow-up evaluation was conducted at UMass campus. Greater wax moth, *Galleria mellonella*, a highly susceptible host, was used to evaluate the virulence of the EPN treatments approximately 8 weeks after

original application in the field. The moth larvae were purchased online from Bestbait. On September 15, 2020, 2 lb-samples of soil were retrieved from each of the 28 experimental units at the Cold Spring Orchard field site. The soil was transported to the lab in 1 quart plastic containers with lid. Upon arrival to the laboratory, each container received 15 ml of distilled water and 15 wax moth larvae were placed inside each container,






Figure 2. Mortality values (mean \pm standard error of the mean) of wax moth (*Galleria mellonella*) larvae that were exposed to soil samples collected (on 15 September, 2020) from each of the 28 experimental units at Cold Spring Orchard (Belchertown, MA). Soil samples corresponded to the EPN treatments or water (control) that were applied against plum curculio on 16 July, 2020.

on top of the soil. Mortality of wax moth larvae was recorded at 24, 48, and 72 hours after exposure.

Results

Field study. Overall, 92 adult plum curculios were recovered from the 28 emergence cages. As shown in Figure 1, the most effective EPN treatments (the ones that resulted in the lowest levels of plum curculio emergence) were *S. carpocapsae* and *S. riobrave* (both at the high rates) and the two rates of *S.r.* + *S.c.* When compared to the control, *S.r.* + *S.c.* (high rate) resulted in a 48-fold reduction in the number of adult PCs that emerged from the soil whereas *S. riobrave* (high rate) and *S.r.* + *S.c.* (low rate), showed a 24-fold reduction. *Steinernema riobrave* at the high and at the low rates and *S. carpocapsae* at the high rate performed similarly well.

Evaluation of EPN persistence. Mortality levels of wax moth larvae caused by EPN treatments ranged from 15% (*S. carpocapsae*, low rate) to 38% (*S. carpocapsae*, high rate) when soil was taken from Cold Spring Orchard in mid-September, from the same areas where EPNs were applied in mid-July. However, the statistical analyses showed no significant differences in the levels of mortality of wax moth larvae among EPN treatments and the control (Figure 2).

Conclusions

The results from this study indicated that *Steinernema carpocapsae* and *S. riobrave* (both at the high application rates evaluated) and the two rates of both EPN species combined performed best at killing plum curculio larvae in the soil. The follow-up study that sought to assess the persistence of EPNs in the soil showed some positive results, but variability among samples likely prevented us from detecting statistical differences when compared to water control. This investigation will be conducted again in 2021 to confirm our results. Overall, this study shows, once more, than EPNs are effective at killing plum curculio larvae in the soil. Biological control involving the application of EPNs targeting the soil-dwelling stages of plum curculio has the potential to manage this pest more sustainably in a reduced-spray environment, including organic systems.

Acknowledgments

Funding for this research was provided by the USDA National Institute for Food and Agriculture and by the UMass Stockbridge School of Agriculture.

References

Piñero, J.C., Shapiro-Ilan, D., Cooley, D.R., Tuttle, A.F., Eaton, Drohan, P., Leahy, K., Zhang, Hancock, T., Wallingford, A.K., and Leskey, T.C. 2020. Toward the integration of an attract-and-kill approach with entomopathogenic nematodes to control multiple life stages of plum curculio (Coleoptera: Curculionidae) Insects 11(6), 375. Available at: <u>https://www.mdpi.com/2075-4450/11/6/375/htm</u>

Shapiro-Ilan, D.I., Wright, S.E., Tuttle, A.F., Cooley, D.R., and Leskey, T.C. 2013. Using entomopathogenic nematodes for biological control of plum curculio, *Conotrachelus nenuphar*: Effects of irrigation and species in apple orchards. Biological Control 67, 123–129.

Shapiro-Ilan, D.I., Leskey, T.C., and Wright, S.E. 2011. Virulence of entomopathogenic nematodes to plum curculio, *Conotrachelus nenuphar*: Effects of strain, temperature, and soil type. Journal of Nematology 43, 187–195. Brookdale Farm Supplies is pleased to announce distribution agreement with Valente corporation in the United States for apple and grape trellising systems A competitive alternative to wood trellis systems



Valente's concrete posts are prestressed reinforced posts that are trapezoidal shape with four smooth sides and no edges. This prevents wear on hail netting or other coverings. The Valente trellis system can be done three different ways; standard trellis support, tall trellis support for future installation of netting or the tall trellis with hail netting included. Many different types and colors of hail netting, as well as bird netting, available. 2.5 acres of apples at 12' row spacing fits in an overseas container. Container loads are delivered directly to your farm. Note: posts need to be vibrated in. Please contact us for information and a free estimate with trellis model.

Many different types and colors of bird and hail netting available

TORO. Ag Irrigation


Toro's Blueline PC is a heavy wall drip tubing with pressure compensating integrated drippers that lasts 25 plus years. Designed for perennial crops such as apples, peaches, and blueberries; Blueline PC has an emitter built inside the tube. The flow path technology in the PC dripper uses a shark tooth design providing a turbulent flow path that is independent from the wall of the tubing. That flow path, along with the self-flushing diaphragm allows for a dripper system that is very resistant to clogging. This produces a uniformly watered field for a long duration of time.



Brookdale Farm Supplies

38 Broad Street Hollis, NH 03049 603-465-2240 tractortrv@aol.com www.brookdalefruitfarm.com





Servicing the New Jersey Horticulture Industry with expertise in fertility and micronutrient programs and crop protection recommendations

> Bloomsbury, NJ 908-479-4500





Evaluation of CIDETRAK[®] CMDA + LR DUAL MESO[™] as a Mating Disruption Tool for the Management of Codling Moth and Obliquebanded Leafroller in Apple Orchards


Ajay P. Giri and Jaime C. Piñero Stockbridge School of Agriculture, University of Massachusetts

In eastern North America, apple orchards are often attacked by several insect pest species in the Lepidopteran family Tortricidae. Some common fruit pests of economic importance from this family are codling moth (Cydia pomonella) (CM) and obliquebanded leafroller (Choristoneura rosaceana) (OBLR). Two common management options used by growers to control tortricid pests are insecticide-based control and mating disruption. However, the application of synthetic insecticides is detrimental to the environment and to non-target species and there is a growing evidence of pest resistance to various types of insecticides. Mating disruption is a species- specific and environment friendly option for apple growers. Mating disruption utilizes sex pheromone dispensers deployed at high densities to confuse male moths so that they will not find females. The main idea is that the female will remain unmated so that the population levels are reduced, and crop damage diminishes. The goal of this study was to evaluate the field performance of a dual mating disruption tool targeting CM and OBLR.

Materials & Methods

This field study was conducted from May 6 to September 7, 2020, in three commercial apple orchards ("A", "B" and "C") in Massachusetts. The performance of the mating disruption system was compared against the grower standard approach (hereafter referred to as control). The mating disruption system evaluated was the commercial formulation CIDETRAK[®] CMDA + LR DUAL MESOTM targeting CM and OBLR. All mating disruption materials were provided by Trécé Inc. (Adair, OK). On May 6, 2020, two pheromone dispensers (one for each moth species) were deployed at the rate of 32 dispensers per acre and were hanged on the branches by the hook at upper 3rd of the tree canopy (Figure 1). This way mating disruption block in orchard "A" (area: 7.35 acres) received 230 dispensers, orchard "B" (area: 6.26 acres) received 200 dispensers, and orchard "C" (area: 9.89 acres) received 310 dispensers. The distance between pheromone dispensers were 10 yards on the perimeter and 15 yards in the interior. The grower control blocks were similar in size and they received standard grower CM and OBLR controls and did not receive any pheromone dispensers.

To monitor the moth populations various novel lures were used. Both the mating disruption and the control blocks received one CML2 lure and one CML2-P lure (improved Trécé lure formulation) for CM and one OBLR lure and one LR Combo lure for OBLR. All lures were installed at the central part of the mating disruption block and the grower control block in each orchard. The monitoring lures were placed






Figure 3. Mating disruption block (a) and control block (b) of orchard "A", mating disruption block (c) and control block (d) of orchard "B", and mating disruption (e) and control block (f) of orchard "C".


inside orange delta-shaped trap (Pherocon® VI, Trécé Inc., Adair, OK) and were kept at 6 feet high and at least 50 yards apart from each other (Figure 2). These traps were monitored on weekly basis for 18 weeks. All captured adult moths were identified according to the species and dissected under microscope to identify their respective sex.


At the end of the experiment, we conducted a harvest injury assessment. This was accomplished by by visual inspection of 100 fruits per tree from 20 trees (=2,000 fruits per block) from both mating disruption and control block. Figure 3 shows, for each orchard,

the mating disruption and control blocks used for the study.

Results

Obliquebanded leafroller (OBLR): The first flight of OBLR was observed around June 15th and the second flight started around August 3rd. Overall, the populations of OBLR were comparatively low in orchards "A" and "C" (less than 5 moths in total were captured in the monitoring traps for the entire season). In orchard "B", the population of OBLR was higher,





In orchard "A", there was 0.1% suspected injury in the mating disruption block and 0.15% suspected injury in the control block. In orchard "B". there was zero injury in the mating disruption block and 0.15% suspected injury in the control block. In orchard "C", there was 0.15% suspected injury in both the mating disruption and the control blocks.

The LR Combo lure used in the experiment was attractive to both sexes of OBLR. Upon dissection, it was found that 40% of the captured female in control block were mated but in mating disruption block none were mated. The monitoring trap that was placed for OBLR also captured redbanded leafroller

with 49 moths captured in all. Comparatively, the average number of OBLR was higher in the control block compared to the mating disruption block earlier in the season (Figure 4). (RBLR) in substantial numbers. This may be due to the overlap of compounds present in the pheromone lure of both species.

Codling moth (CM): In general, captures of CM


in orchard "B" and "C" were higher in the control block than in the mating disruption block (Figure 5A-C). In contrast, CM populations in orchard "A" were higher in the mating disruption block than in the control block (Figure 5A). The likely reason may be higher pest pressure in the mating disruption block of the orchard. In terms of fruit injury, zero injury was CM was recorded in mating disruption and grower standard blocks in all orchards and blocks, except for orchard "A" where we recorded 0.05% fruit injury in the mating disruption block and 0.1% injury in the control block.


Conclusions

Under the conditions of this study involving low moth populations, the Trécé dual mating disruption system marketed as CIDETRAK[®] CMDA + LR DUAL MESOTM for CM and OBLR seems to be working well as determined by low injury and low moth captures in mating disruption blocks relative to the grower standard (control) blocks. The higher OBLR populations recorded in orchard "B" indicated that the LR combo lure can be used as a lure to monitor both sexes of OBLR.

Acknowledgements

We thank Keith Arsenault (Ragged Hill Orchard, West Brookfield, MA) and Albert Rose (Red Apple Farm, Phillipston, MA) for providing us the apple blocks to conduct the experiment. Thanks to Brent short (Trécé Inc., Adair, OK) for providing the mating disruption dispensers, monitoring traps and lures. We express our appreciation to Heriberto Godoy Hernandez, Prabina Regmi, and Dorna Saadat for assistance.





2021 Periodical Cicada in New Jersey and Pennsylvania

Win Cowgill

Professor Emeritus, Rutgers University, Win Enterprises International, LLC.

Growers across NJ and eastern PA have been inundated with this brood of insects, see the Brood X map for areas affected (Figure 1). Figure 2 shows that eastern US, NY, and western MA are impacted by Brood 11, but not this season (2021).

Danger to Leaders on Newly Planted and Young Trees

The adults cause injury with their thick needle-like ovipositor while laying eggs, not through feeding injury (Photos). The adults oviposit in the leaders and branches causing breakage of one-year-old wood. The most effective insecticidal control is through direct contact of the adults while spraying.

My observations this season are that you need to apply every 2-3 days max. With no residual impact, it is essential to hit the adult females when they are in your trees or on the fly. The best time is when they are active in the daytime, usually morning. As evening approaches, they are less active, especially with cooler temperatures. We want to kill as many as possible at each application.


The adults are large hard-shelled insects and are difficult to kill. Some insecticides knock them down,

but they are back up in several hours.

If there is a large population in adjacent woods or trees (hedgerows), the females will repopulate theapple orchard the next day after application and begin laying eggs again. With some materials, like Cavalary (Lambda-cyhalothrin), they seemed to land and shy away for a day, but then are back in full force a day later.



Photo 1. Cicada Damage to apple shoot- Photo credit: G. Krawczyk, Penn State University.








Photo 2. Cicada on apple shoots. Photo credit Win Cowgill.

	Rate	Mean nu	mber of cicada	oviposition sli	its/branch
Treatment	amt form/acre	May 27	June 3	June 10	June 17
Actara 25WG	5.5 oz	9.9 a	21.1 a	26.7 a	30.2 abc
Asana XL	14.5 fl oz	1.3 b	2.6 b	3.4 c	3.5 e
Assail 70WP	3.4 oz	2.1 b	15.6 ab	21.9 ab	19.3 bcde
Avaunt 30WG	6.0 oz	9.7 a	21.1 a	31.1 a	38.4 a
AzaDirect 1.20%	1.0 qt	4.6 ab	16.4 ab	27.4 a	34.3 ab
Calypso 480SC	8.0 fl oz	5.1 ab	15.1 ab	21.1 ab	27.1 abcd
Danitol 2.4EC	21.0 fl oz	1.2 b	1.8 b	2.1 c	2.1 e
Lannate LV	3.0 pt	1.4 b	4.9 b	9.3 bc	11.1 de
Warrior 1CS	5.1 fl oz	1.2 b	7.6 ab	11.1 bc	13.3 cde
Untreated check		7.9 ab	21.3 a	28.4 a	32.6 ab

Table 2. Pesticide impacts on cicada damage, from Chis Bergh, Virginia Tech, 2004.

	Rate	Mean no. flagged	Mean no. fallen
Freatment	amt form/acre	shoots/tree (June 24)	shoots/tree (June 24)
Actara 25WG	5.5 oz	8.3ab	1.75a
Asana XL	14.5 fl oz	0.3e	0.50ab
Assail 70WP	3.4 oz	3.0cde	0.25ab
Avaunt 30WG	6.0 oz	9.0a	1.75a
AzaDirect 1.20%	1.0 qt	4.8abcd	0.75ab
Calypso 480SC	8.0 fl oz	4.5bcde	0.75ab
Danitol 2.4EC	21.0 fl oz	1.0de	0.0b
Lannate LV	3.0 pt	5.0abcd	0.75ab
Warrior 1CS	5.1 fl oz	4.5bcde	0.50ab
Untreated check		7.3abc	1.25ab

Table 3. Pesticide effects on cicada mortality via bioassay (180 adult cicadas tested in 6 replicates of 30 individuals each, from Biddinger and Hull, 2004).

Treatment	Rate/A in 100 gal water/A	Concentration (ppm)	Av. % Mortality at 48 hours
Actara 25WDG	4 oz	75	58.3 b*
Assail 70WG**	2.5 oz	141	97.8 c
Calypso 4SC	4 fl oz	150	87.8 c
Warrior 1CS**	3 fl oz	28	72.8 b
Water			13.9 a

Pesticides for Cicada Control (sources Cornell, Penn State, Virginia Tech)

Most past work on cicada was done in 2004 by Penn State, Cornell, and Virginia Tech. Thanks to Peter Jenstch for all the telephone guidance on controlling this viding high mortality on contact.

pest this season. L a n a t e (methomyl) and the pyrethroid-

class insecticides, including Asana (esfenvalarate), Danitol (fenpropathrin) or Warrior (lambda-cyhalothrin), have proven to be quite effective against the cicada, often pro-

Of these insecticides, it appears that two of the pyrethroids are capable of maintaining low oviposition damage to trees to reduce limb breakage and fruit loss. In studies conducted by Chris Bergh at Virginia Tech in Winchester, VA, three dilute applications were made at 6-8-day intervals to young trees beginning on May 28. Near the end of the egg-laying season, Asana applied at the high labeled rate of 14.5 oz/A and Danitol applied at 21.0 oz/A provided significantly better ovipositional deterrence to the 17-year cicada. These same two materials, Asana and Danitol, were the best in 2004 in work conducted by Peter Jenstch at Cornell. All materials tested had very little residual control, and so we must depend on knock down of the adults with our strongest hottest materials, it may require a scheduled application every 3-5-7 days, depending on the numbers of insects in your area/or-

chard (according to Peter Jentsch, Hudson Valley, NY).

If you see cicada, spray with a knock-down pesticide as soon as weather allows. Depending on cicada population and their movement into your orchard blocks, you may have to spray on a 2-3-day schedule. If you have young trees, be more vigilant and spray more often to prevent damage to leaders and new scaffolds. Note each material's label restrictions for frequency of application. Likely, we will have a mite problem with multiple applications of these materials, as we are killing mite predators, Plan on applying an ovacide mite material like Apollo or Savey 50 DF at end of your cicada applications and then keep a close eye on mite eggs and adult populations.

The best information comes from <u>Cornell</u> and <u>Penn</u> <u>State</u> newsletters, both based on data from the last brood and insecticide trials in 2004.

For More Information

Full reports, maps, and research results are provided at the links listed below.

Resnick, B. 2021. Where billions of cicadas will emerge this spring (and over the next decade), in one map. <u>https://www.vox.com/science-and-health/22362042/</u>cicada-brood-x-map-2021

Jentsch, P. 2013. He's only mostly dead – Managing Brood II of the 17-year cicada in the Hudson Valley, 2013. <u>http://www.scaffolds.entomology.cornell.</u> edu/2013/SCAFFOLDS%206-10-13.pdf

Krawczyk, G. and D Biddinger. 2021. A Blast from the Past: 17-Year Cicada Control in Pennsylvania Apple Orchards, 2021. <u>https://extension.psu.edu/a-blast-from-</u> <u>the-past-17-year-cicada-control-in-pennsylvania-apple-</u> <u>orchards-2021</u>





2020 Update on NC-140 Fuji and Honeycrisp Apple Rootstock Trials in New Jersey

Megan Muehlbauer, Rebecca Magron, and Win Cowgill Rutgers New Jersey Agricultural Experiment Station, Rutgers University

Over the past 40+ years the NC-140 Regional Rootstock Project has leveraged the support and resources of both Universities and tree fruit experts from around the country to trial novel rootstocks to continue to propel North American tree fruit production into the future. Optimal rootstock choice aids greatly in maximizing vigor, yield, disease and insect resistance for tree fruit orchards. However, environmental factors, diseases and insects change with time. Thus, it is critical to maintain trials to test for these evolving challenges. As well as to establish new trials of rootstocks from breeding programs around the world.

Overview of Trials

The Rutgers Snyder Research and Extension Farm in Pittstown, NJ is currently host to a number of NC-140 trials including the 2014 Fuji and Honeycrisp rootstock trials. The Honeycrisp trial was planted in 2014 at a spacing of 4' x 12' (907 trees per acre), and the Fuji trial is planted at 5' x 13' (672 trees/acre). The trees have been maintained according to commercial standards as described in the New Jersey Tree Fruit Production Guide. Both were planted and trained to the Tall Spindle Production system, the standard for the fresh market apple industry.

The Honeycrisp planting consists of 14 rootstocks (B.10, G.11, G.202, G.214, G.30, G.41, G.935, G.969, M.26 EMLA, M.9 NAKBT337, V.1, V.5, V.6, and V.7). While the Fuji planting consists of only 11 rootstocks (G.11, G.202, G.214, G.30, G.935, M.9 NAKBT337, M.26 EMLA, V.1, V.5, V.6, and V.7).

Data from each planting is collected at harvest. This includes total yield per tree, vigor (as assessed by trunk cross-sectional area, TCA), and number of fruit per tree. These data are used to extrapolate average fruit weight and average yield efficiency for each rootstock.

2020 Growing Season

The 2020 growing season had a rough start in

Northern New Jersey. In Mid-March due to Covid, much of the state was shutdown. Rutgers University implemented a hiring freeze that impacted all research at outlying field stations and lead to delays in pruning the NC140 trials. Growers in the Northern Part of the state had 9 different cold and freeze events during our apple bloom period. Luckily, there was little to no damage of the apple crop at the Rutgers Snyder Research Farm in Pittstown, NJ. The remaining season was fairly normal with no notable temperature swings, droughts or floods. Interestingly, very little bitter rot or bitterpit was observed in the trials for the 2020 growing season.

Yield and Vigor for 2020, Honeycrisp

Honeycrisp fruit were harvested on September 14, 2020. Average yields were highest on the V.5 rootstocks (54.6 lb./tree), this rootstock also produced the largest number of fruit per tree (104 fruit/tree). Average yields were found to be the lowest on G.202 (12.8 lb./tree), this rootstock also yielded the lowest number of fruit per tree (20 fruit/tree). The average TCA was highest for V.6 (7.4 in²), and lowest for G.202 (2.4 in²). Average yield efficiency was found to be the highest for G.969 (15.8 lb./in²) followed by G.935 with lowest for G.202 (5.5 lb./in²) (Table 1). There was no statistical difference in the number of suckers (Table 1).

Yield and Vigor for 2020, Fuji

Fuji fruit were harvested on November 2, 2020. Average yields were highest on V.6 rootstocks (71.2 lb./tree); however, the greatest number of apples were found on G.30 rootstocks (123 fruit/tree). G.214 were the lowest yielding rootstocks (26.8 lb./tree), and also had the lowest number of fruit per tree (43 fruit/tree). The average TCA was highest for V.6 (8.9 in²), and lowest for G.202 (4.8 in²). The highest average yield efficiency was found to be G.30 (9.7 lbs/in²) and the lowest on M.26 EMLA (5.7 lbs/in²). This was similar to the 2019 growing season where the highest yield

	Trunk					
	cross-	Yield (no.	Fruit	Root		Yield
	sectional	fruit/tree	weight	suckers	Yield	efficiency
Rootstock	area (in²))	(oz)	(no./tree)	(lbs/tree)	(lbs/in²)
G.202	2.4 e	20 b	10.9 a	0 a	12.8 c	5.5 b
G.11	2.5 e	28 b	13.0 a	0 a	18.1 bc	7.9 ab
B.10	3.1 de	29 b	9.4 a	0 a	16.9 bc	5.7 b
G.41	3.2 de	36 b	9.6 a	0 a	22.5 abc	7.1 ab
G.214	3.3 de	58 ab	9.3 a	1 a	31.2 abc	9.6 ab
M.9 NAKBT337	3.4 de	51 b	9.4 a	2 a	27.8 abc	8.7 ab
G.935	3.6 de	82 ab	9.3 a	4 a	43.1 abc	12.0 ab
M.26 EMLA	4.1 cde	43 b	11.8 a	7 a	24.6 abc	6.5 b
G.969	4.6 cde	146 a	7.2 a	4 a	63.5 a	15.8 a
G.30	5.5 bc	52 b	10.6 a	4 a	31.5 abc	7.1 ab
V.1	6.0 ab	70 ab	9.9 a	3 a	41.8 abc	7.0 ab
V.7	6.1 ab	97 ab	8.2 a	1 a	49.6 abc	8.8 ab
V.5	6.3 ab	104 ab	9.4 a	0 a	58.5 ab	9.4 ab
V.6	7.4 a	80 ab	11.2 a	0 a	54.6 abc	7.0 ab

	Trunk					
	cross-		Fruit	Root		Yield
	sectional	Yield (no.	weight	suckers	Yield	efficiency
Rootstock	area (in²)	fruit/tree)	(oz)	(no./tree)	(lbs/tree)	(lbs/in ²)
G.202	4.8 b	59 a	9.1 a	1 a	32.7 a	7.5 a
G.214	5.5 b	43 a	9.9 a	0 a	26.8 a	5.9 a
G.11	5.6 ab	76 a	9.7 a	0 a	45.4 a	8.3 a
G.935	5.8 ab	69 a	9.8 a	0 a	38.9 a	6.6 a
M.9 NAKBT337	5.9 ab	62 a	9.1 a	1 a	34.9 a	6.0 a
M.26 EMLA	7.3 ab	58 a	10.1 a	1 a	34.4 a	5.7 a
V.1	7.5 ab	87 a	8.6 a	0 a	45.6 a	6.0 a
G.30	7.7 ab	123 a	8.5 a	1 a	66.5 a	9.7 a
V.5	8.7 a	69 a	9.1 a	0 a	38.9 a	5.0 a
V.7	8.9 a	114 a	9.0 a	0 a	61.4 a	7.0 a
V.6	8.9 a	122 a	9.6 a	1 a	71.2 a	8.0 a

efficiency was also found to be G.30, although the lowest was found to be M.9 NAKBT337 (Muehlbauer et al. 2020). All rootstocks produced fewer than 2 root suckers per tree, and there was no statistical difference in the number of suckers by rootstock (Table 2).

Average fruit weight, average yield per tree, and average yield efficiency were not significantly different among rootstocks.

Comparison of Honeycrisp and Fuji

In comparing the Fuji and Honeycrisp yields (Figure 1), they differed in which rootstock produced the greatest yields (Fuji/V.7 and Honeycrisp/V.6). Interestingly, in 2019, both Fuji and Honeycrisp produced their greatest yields on V.7 (Muehlbauer et al. 2020). Similarly, in 2020, they differed on the root-




Figure 1. Yield per tree in 2020 for Honeycrisp and Fuji trees in the 2014 NC-140 Apple Rootstock Trials at the Rutgers Snyder Research and Extension Farm, Pittstown, NJ.




Figure 2. Yield efficiency (yield/TCA) in 2020 for Honeycrisp and Fuji 2014 NC-140 Apple Rootstock Tria at the Rutgers Snyder Research and Extension Farm, Pittstown, NJ.

stock that produced the lowest yields (Fuji/G.935 and Honeycrisp/G.202). However, again in 2019, both Fuji and Honeycrisp produced their lowest yields on G 202 (Figure 1) (Muchlbauer et al. 2020).

In comparing Honeycrisp and Fuji yield efficiency (Figure 2), the rootstock with the highest yield efficiency for Fuji and Honeycrisp differed (Fuji/V.6 and Honeycrisp/G.969). This differed from the 2019


growing season where Fuji had the highest yield efficiency on G.30, although it was followed closely by V.6, and Honeycrisp/M.9 NAKBT337 were the most efficient. The lowest yield efficiency also different between Fuji and Honeycrisp, Fuji/M.26 EMLA and Honeycrisp/G.202 for the 2020 growing season. This differed slightly from 2019 where the lowest yield efficiencies were found on Fuji/M.9 NAKBT337 and Honeycrisp/G.41. (Muehlbauer et al. 2020)

Conclusions

The Vineland (V.1, V.5, V.6, and V.7) series rootstocks we tested and M.26 EMLA, G.30 continue to show significant vigor in both the 2014 Fuji and Honeycrisp NC-140 rootstock trials. In particular, V.6 had the greatest TCA for both Fuji and Honeycrisp scions. The Vineland rootstocks tested with Fuji were too vigorous for a tall spindle system. None of the Vineland rootstocks, M.26 EMLA, or G. 30 look good in tall spindle with Fuji. Establishing this trial at 3' x 12' instead of 5' x 13' would have increased competition between trees and may have improved performance in a tall spindle system. However, at the established 5' x 13'spacing, the average fruit weight, average yield per tree, and average yield efficiency was not significantly different among rootstocks, and none were stellar performers.

Note that G.30 is a rootstock that has been evaluated in numerous NC-140 and other rootstock trials over the years. It fell out of favor with our US nurserymen as it is hard to propagate so there are very few stoolbeds of G.30 and therefore limited production.

The biggest conclusion from the NC-140 2014 Honeycrisp Trial is that G.969 and G.935 significantly outperformed the other stocks in yield efficiency and should be considered as rootstocks for Honeycrisp planted in a tall spindle system at 3' x 12' spacing.





Your One-Stop Source For Commercial Fruit Trees

- New varieties
- Reliable rootstocks
- Top quality nursery trees
- Decades of industry knowledge



Dawn Melvin



Matthew Schuld

Your time is valuable, make Summit your first call! 800.424.2765

www.summittreesales.com • 🖬 Like us on Facebook

New Jersey News

Melick's Town Farm New Cold Storage and Production Building Nearing Completion

"The new cold storage should be ready for apple season this fall, construction has been a challenge with supply chain delays this past year, but its close!" said John Melick



Barbara Anne Alstede Passes

The Alstede Family regrets to announce the passing of Barbara Anne (Cirelli) Alstede of Chester, NJ on Thursday February 11, 2021 at the age of 55. She passed peacefully at her farmhouse surrounded by her family and close friends following a very short, but extraordinarily courageous and strong, faith filled fight against pancreatic cancer. Born on January 6, 1966, Barbara was the sixth of seven children born to Anthony and Barbara Cirelli and was raised, and continued to reside, in Chester until the time of her death.

Barbara was a 1984 graduate of West Morris Central High School and went on to earn a Bachelor of Science in Education from Bloomsburg University of Pennsylvania in 1988. Barbara had a heart for children and teaching and taught special education in several different schools through 1997.

Barbara met the love of her life, Kurt W. Alstede, a local farmer also of Chester, NJ, while attending a Bible study at the First Congregational Church of Chester in 1996. Barbara and Kurt became engaged to be married later that year on Christmas Eve, 1996 and were married just three weeks later on January 18, 1997 at the Congregational Church where they met. The first night of their marriage was celebrated in the same farmhouse and bedroom where Barbara and Kurt lived their entire married life together and where she passed peacefully away.

Later in 1997 Barbara decided to join her husband in their family farm business, Alstede Farms of Chester. She continued to be employed there in various management roles until her passing. Together they successfully grew the first generation family farm business into a substantial 800 acre fruit and vegetable growing operation that retails all of its production and is nationally recognized for its sustainable stewardship of natural resources. More notable is the fact that together, they successfully permanently preserved over 560 acres of beautiful and productive farmland, forever cementing the rural atmosphere of Chester and western Morris County; while also providing fresh local nourishment to millions of regional residents each year through the produce that they raised. Further, millions of New Jersey school children have learned about agriculture in New Jersey from Barbara as she actively provided educational school tours to children at the farm throughout her lifetime. The positive influence of Barbara and her farm on the quality of life for New Jersey residents cannot be overstated.

In addition to raising crops on their farm, Barbara and her husband Kurt also raised a family. Rebekah was born in 2001, Sarah in 2003, and Karl in 2007. Barbara again exhibited her passion for education by choosing to personally home school all their children at their farmhouse. Barbara maintained that there was no better teacher and no better role model for young aged children than their parents. Barbara



fostered and infused in each of her children a love and passion for God, country, and farming which has led each of them to achieve their dreams utilizing the gifts that God gave each of them.

Barbara's faith and heart for God and her Savior were paramount in her life. She was very active in the church in which she was baptized, where she was married, and where her children were dedicated as Christians, the First Congregational Church of Chester. Her zeal for education led her to serve on the Christian Education Committee through the time of her passing where she oversaw youth Sunday school, activities, clubs, Bible studies, and child care. She also served previously as a church Deacon.

Perhaps most notable of Barbara's many accomplishments was the stability of the home that she created and maintained for her husband and her children in their old farmhouse. Never one to seek the lime-light, her steadfast and fierce dedication to her family behind the scenes allowed Kurt to serve actively in many different community and regional leadership capacities; and for each of her children to actively pursue their dreams; Rebekah as an agricultural leader, Sarah as an equestrian, and Karl as an ice hockey player. Make no mistake, Barbara knew how to make herself be heard at a hockey game. Barbara's husband and children were the love of her life and they embody her legacy.

Barbara will be missed. But her husband and family take solace in her saving knowledge of Jesus Christ and her residency with her Savior. Barbara is survived by her husband of 25 years Kurt and their children Rebekah, Sarah, and Karl. Barbara is also survived by her father, Kurt's brothers, all her siblings, many in-laws, and a vast number of cousins, nieces, and nephews. A private (due to attendance restrictions) celebration of life and memorial service will be held at the First Congregational Church of Chester on Saturday February 20 followed by a private interment at the Alstede Family plot located at the Pleasant Hill Cemetery in Chester. The memorial service will be live streamed for those that would like to attend virtually. It can be found at: www.facebook.com/FCCofChester. In lieu of flowers. gifts in Barbara's memory can be kindly directed to the First Congregational Church of Chester Youth Ministry Fund; Post Office Box 125; Chester, New Jersey 07930. Arrangements are being prepared by Leber Funeral Home of Chester, New Jersey.

The Alstede Family graciously acknowledges the love, support, and prayers that have been abundantly offered during both Barbara's short time of illness and, most especially, during this time of remembrance.



The Alstede Family.

Robert E. Fralinger, Jr. Passes

Robert E. Fralinger Jr., longtime co-owner of Fralinger Farms in Hopewell Township, died February 16, 2021 at Inspira Medical Center in Vineland following a brief illness. He was 85.

The husband of Maryanne Ettore Fralinger, Bob was a lifelong Hopewell Township resident. He was born in Bridgeton and was the son of the late Robert E. Sr. and Louise E. Graves Fralinger. He graduated from Bridgeton High School and after spending a semester at Drexel University in Philadelphia, returned home to help his parents in the operation of the family farm. During this time, Bob pursued his passion for flying, becoming a private co-pilot for Wheaton Glass in Millville and a flight instructor for the Salem

Flying Club. For a few years, he flew consistently to places like Florida, Alaska, Texas, and the Bahamas.

When he and Maryanne began having a family, Bob decided to focus solely on co-running the farm with his parents. Over the next 50 years, he and Maryanne expanded a 65-acre vegetable and poultry operation to a successful 400-acre peach, nectarine, and egg business. Together they had six children, all of whom developed a strong work ethic from their parents' example. Bob served on the Cumberland



County Board of Agriculture and was a member of the Bridgeton Lions Club and The Parish of the Holy Cross/St. Teresa of Avila RC Church.

In addition to his wife of 58 years, he is survived by his six children, Robert Edward Fralinger III (Patricia) of Bridgeton, Patricia Anne Powell (John) of Hopewell Twp., Thomas Patrick Fralinger (Mechelle) of Gallatin, TN, Michael Paul Fralinger of Vineland, Barbara Kay Fralinger of Coconut Grove, FL, and Maryanne Elizabeth Fralinger of Florham Park, NJ; and six grandchildren, Andrew Robert Fralinger, Ty Aaron Powell, Devin Shay Powell, Nicholas Patrick Fralinger, Tessa D. Fralinger, and Stephen Mathias Fralinger.

The Funeral Liturgy for Bob was

held for members of the immediate family and was followed by entombment in the mausoleum at Overlook Cemetery in Bridgeton.

In Mr. Fralinger's memory, the family would be grateful for contributions to either The Parish of the Holy Cross, 46 Central Avenue, Bridgeton, NJ 08302 or The Good Shepherd Dining Hall of the St. Vincent de Paul Society, c/o 46 Central Avenue, Bridgeton, NJ.

Ray Samulis Passes

Raymond J. "Ray" Samulis, age 69 of Roebling, New Jersey passed away Wednesday, February 24, 2021 in the company of his loving family following complications from heart surgery.

Professor Samulis was born in Philadelphia and was the son of the late Anthony J. and Margaret Samulis. Ray resided in Burlington County most of his life. He was a retired Burlington County Agricultural Agent and earned the rank and title of Professor at Rutgers University in New Brunswick with 41 years of dedicated service. He worked



with tree fruit and small fruit crops as well as vegetables. Ray was well-regarded, admired and respected by his colleagues. He was a wine connoisseur honored with the distinction of "Award Winning Home Wine Maker". In addition to his wine making, Ray had many accomplishments which included his pilgrimage to the tomb of the Apostle James. However, his greatest accomplishment and his pride and joy were his family. Ray was a devoted and loving husband, father and "Pop" to his cherished grandchildren.

Beside his parents, he was predeceased







by his brother, Anthony J. Samulis and is survived by his wife of 43 years, Karin E. Zerbock Samulis, his children and their spouses, Peter and Jennifer Samulis, Thomas Samulis and Christine Benner and Patrick Samulis and Adrianne Wells, his grandchildren, Anna, Claire, Emma, Thomas, Benjamin and Jonathan, brothers-in-law and sistersin-law, Martin Zerbock, Andrew and Laura Zerbock, and Patricia Samulis, nephews, TJ, Danny and Scott and nieces, Shoshana and Marlyn and his many other brothers.

The family requests memorial contributions be made to the Food Bank of South Jersey, 1501 John Tipton Blvd., Pennsauken, NJ 08110 https://foodbanksj.org/ Condolences may be sent to www.koschekandporterfuneralhome. com.

Carroll Barclay, Jr. Passes

Carroll (Skip) W. Barclay, Jr., 94, of Colts Neck passed away on March 1, 2021. He was born on May 3, 1926 to Ruth Hutchinson Applegate and Carroll Wilson Barclay, Sr. at the family farm in Colts Neck, New Jersey. He lived on the family farm called Delicious Orchards and in the same house his whole life. He graduated from Red Bank High School in 1944. He attended one semester in pre-med at Syracuse University but decided to return home to help his mother manage the farm in 1945. Skip married Janet McDonald on July 10, 1948.

Skip rolled up his sleeves and succeeded to add 70 more acres of orchard in 1948. With the advice from four uncles on both sides of the family he quickly learned the science and the business of fruit growing. There were hard times in farming in the late 1950's. In 1959 he and his wife Janet took the risk and opened a small retail farm market to sell apples, peaches, cider, and donuts. It was called Delicious Orchards after the trade name of the farm. One year later Janet started baking pies. He had the help of Janet doing the baking, his sister Carolyn Smith at the store, and brotherin-law William Smith overseeing the farm operation. His mother always encouraged the endeavor. Skip had the vision of giving customers high quality products at an attractive price. They grew the business of almost 30 years and moved it to its present location at Route 34 in Colts Neck.

In 1977, Skip and Janet bought a farm in central Arkansas where they started a new pick-your-own venture selling apples and peaches direct to the customer. After Janet's death in 1993 Skip returned full-time to New Jersey.

Skip served as a Deacon and Elder of the First Presbyterian Church of Red Bank (Tower Hill). He also served on the Colt Neck Zoning Board and Board of Education. Later, he served on the Rutgers University, Cook College Board of Managers. He was a director of The First Merchants Bank.

Besides his parents, Carroll is predeceased by his beloved wife Janet and his sister Carolyn Smith. He is survived by a daughter and a son, Martha Barclay of Berkeley, California and David Barclay of Colts Neck. He is also survived by six nieces and nephews: Nadine Raley of Austin, Texas; Kathryn Dewitt and her husband Michael of Scarborough, Maine; Jane Murphy and her husband Tim of Jackson, New Jersey; Fred Mc Donald and his wife Robin of Jackson, New Jersey; Barbara McPheters and her husband Ralph of Okabena, Minnesota; Bonnie McDonald of Englishtown, New Jersey.

Freeman Funeral of Freehold, New Jersey is in charge of arrangements. Services will be private.



Fresher, Sweeter, Juicier Peaches From Circle M Farms

Exceptional quality and flavor at attractive prices Brought to you from the 4th generation family farm of Santo John Maccherone

- PLU Stickers Available Upon Request
- Low in Calories
- Nutritious and Delicious
- Exceptional Flavor





SANTO SAYS...

"Peaches from Circle M Farms just plain taste better. Their size and color are what your customers want, and their flavor is the icing on the cake.

That's because our orchards are tended with care and attention, and their fruit is left on the tree to ripen naturally until they reach their optimum sweetess. Then they are picked by hand, taste-tested by me to ensure quality, and packed gently into

25 lb. volume boxes for shipping. Single layer cartons are available upon request.

Circle M's 30 varieties of peaches and nectarines are known for their sweetness and their luscious color. They look as good as they taste.

Discriminating customers appreciate our white flesh peaches because of their lower acid levels and supremely sweet taste.

Add it all up: Peaches from Circle M Farms are fresher and sweeter, picked by hand when their time has come, and packed with care for a longer shelf life.

Yellow, white and donut peaches and yellow and white nectarines are available early July to late September."

Call us at 856 856 207-4542 or 609 381-6465. Fax your order to 856 878-0404





Made from fresh New Jersey Peaches "Peach Cider Drink, Peach Salsa, Peach Preserves" Santo John Maccherone

circlemfarmsllc@gmail.com

Circle M Farms 88 Sandy Ridge Road Salem, N.J. 08079



Horticultural News

New Jersey State Horticultural Society 176 Airport Road Hackettstown, NJ 07840