Horticultural News

Volume 94, Number 3 Summer, 2014



Horticultural News

Editors: Winfred P. Cowgill, Jr. & Wesley R. Autio

The New Jersey State Horticultural Society was organized on August 17, 1875 at Geological Hall, Rutgers College, New Brunswick, NJ. It remains the oldest Horticultural organization in New Jersey.

Horticultural News began as the *The New Jersey State Horticultural Society News*, in October of 1920. The Society began "collecting paid membership in order to obtain funds to promote new features of the society and extend the usefulness of the society. The Horticultural Society News was started to be the official society publication." Published M. A. Blake, Professor at Rutgers College was the first president and chair of the publication committee.



Editors served as follows:

 MA Blake
 1920 - 1947

 Norman F Childers
 1948 - 1980

 Win Cowgill
 1981 - 1988

 Emily Brown Rosen
 1988 - 1990

 Linda Butenis Vorsa
 1991 - 1995

 Jerry Frecon
 1995 - 2010

June 2010: *Horticultural News* has moved to an online web-based format. The New Jersey State Horticultural Society has partnered with the University of Massachusetts *Fruit Notes*, Dr. Wesley Autio, Editor. Cowgill and Autio will be the new editors of *Horticultural News* and *Fruit Notes*.

Horticultural News is distributed to growers, extension personnel and researchers and libraries across North America. Horticultural News focuses primarily on tree-fruit culture, but addresses small-fruit cultural issues as well. Most reports are from current research at Rutgers University, University of Massachusetts, and other universities.

Horticultural News is published four times per year by the New Jersey State Horticultural Society. It is provided as a benefit to membership in the society. Membership costs \$50 per year. Each one-year subscription begins January 1 and ends December 31. Payments via check must be in United States currency and should be payable to the New Jersey State Horticultural Society. Horticultural News Electronic subscriptions are available as benefit of membership in the NJ State Horticultural Society. A hidden link will be mailed immediately after publication to members. Issues will be made freely available on this website six months after publication.

Correspondence should be sent to: New Jersey State Horticultural Society

Cover: Nursery rows at Adams County Nursery, Delaware. Win Cowgill photo.

Greg Donaldson 176 Airport Road Hackettstown, NJ 07840 TEL (908) 296-1064

For advertising opportunities, contact: Win Cowgill: wincowgill@mac.com; (908) 489-0207

Table of Contents

Studies and Recommendations for Branching Young Apple Trees Winfred P. Cowgill Jr., Michael Beese, Rebecca Magron, Wesley R. Autio, Jon M. Clements, and Terence Robinson
Increasing Branching of Newly Planted Apple Trees Jon Clements
Influence of Spotted-wing Drosophila on Insecticide Use in NJ Blueberries Dean Polk
Historical Retrospective – 75 Years Ago – Hormones and the Dropping of Fruit Laurence Southwick
Historical Retrospective – 50 Years Ago – Should We Continue to Plant Trees on E.M. VII Rootstocks? William J. Lord
Historical Retrospective – 25 Years Ago – Life Without Alar Wesley R. Autio
New Jersey News

Studies and Recommendations for Branching Young Apple Trees

Winfred P. Cowgill Jr., Michael Beese, and Rebecca Magron New Jersey Agricultural Experiment Station, Rutgers University

Wesley R. Autio and Jon M. Clements Stockbridge School of Agriculture, University of Massachusetts

Terence Robinson Cornell University

With the rapid adoption of the Tall Spindle system for apple production, growers need to utilize very high quality feathered trees to ensure production in the second leaf and help cover the significant increased costs of establishment. Over the last number of years as the benefits of highly feathered trees were observed, it became necessary to develop nursery management techniques to stimulate lateral branch development (Robinson et al., 2014) so that apple nurseries can produce the well feathered tree that growers demand for these systems. Promalin was shown to branch apple trees as early as 1983 (Green, 1983).

In the spring of 2009, a new branching chemical, Tiberon, was registered and used commercially in the Pacific Northwest. Its use significantly improved the quality of apple nursery trees. Currently, the future use of Tiberon is in doubt, since Bayer Corporation has withdrawn the product (Robinson et al., 2014). In 2010-2013, Robinson et al. (2014) conducted branching experiments with Maxcel and Promalin in Delaware, NY, Washington, and Chile.

Promalin, cytokinin and gibberlic acid plant growth regulators, has been labeled since the early 1980's, and Maxcel, a cytokinin plant growth regulator, was registered for chemical branching of nursery apple trees in 2013.

This article will focus on the experiments conducted at Adams County Nursery, Milton, Delaware.

Studies in Delaware

Adams County Nursery in Delaware is located near Milton, on costal plain soils, either loamy

sand or sandy loam, 8 to 10 miles from the Atlantic Ocean. Temperature extremes are common at this site with many days of application at 90°F or higher.

Experiments were conducted in 2012 and 2013 to evaluate Maxcel and Promalin sprays. We treated and evaluated the growth rates of Golden Delicious, Macoun, and Day Break Fuji apple nursery trees in multiple experiments over these two seasons. The trials used a randomized complete-block design with 10 replications. All plant growth regulator treatments (PGR) were applied (Figure 1) with a manually operated hand-pump backpack sprayer in 2012. In 2013, a CO₂-pressurized Spraying Systems boom was used. It was constructed with a pressure regulator and a single cone jet nozzle. The unit was calibrated to spray 4 ml of solution to the shoot tip of each tree.

Our goal was to determine the efficacy of Maxcel and Promalin for nursery branching. We began began treatments when the budded nursery trees were 30-40 inches high. In 2012, the treatments were applied on a weekly basis up to 5 times. In evaluating the results we felt that this was too frequent, and in 2013, treatments were applied every 2 weeks.

In the 2012, we explored 1000 ppm on both Golden Delicious and Macoun (Table 1). In 2012 all treatments, except the control, contained Regulaid non-ionic surfactant at 1pint/100 gallons (0.125%) of finished spray solution.

Maxcel contains a substantial package of proprie-





Figure 1. Growth regulators were applied the to the growing point of nursery trees repeatedly through the growing season.

tary surfactants in its formulation (Clark, Personal Communication). Promalin does not have the same package of surfactants and the surfactant load is much lower in Promalin as compared to Maxcel. We attributed the observed phytoxicity with Maxcel in 2012 (Figure 2) to the additional surfactant (Regulaid) combined with the 90°F and higher temperatures.

All treatments caused a significant increase in the number of shoots (feathers) on Golden Delicious trees in 2012 (Table 1). Promalin at 400 ppm plus Regulaid

resulted in the tallest trees, one of the important characteristics of well feathered tree suitable for the Tall Spindle system. With Macoun in 2012, all treatments caused a significant increase in branching (Table 2). Promalin at 500 ppm plus Regulaid again resulted in the tallest trees.

In 2013, all treatments again caused a significant increase in branching of Macoun trees, and Promalin at 400 ppm plus Regulaid produced the tallest trees (Table 3).

In summary, the best treatment for Golden Delicious even though it caused some damage was Maxcel at 500 ppm plus Regulaid. Promalin at 500 ppm plus Regulaid had a statistically similar number of feathers and approximately the same tree height. For Daybreak Fuji (numerical data not shown), Maxcel at 400 ppm plus Regulaid was the best treatment in 2013 (Figure 3). Maxcel at 500 ppm applied to Fuji caused damage on the growing tips, with or without Regulaid in the spray, and noticeable twisting of the leader was abserved. For Macoun, Promalin at 500 ppm plus Regulaid was the best treatment in 2012 (Figure 4), and Promalin at 400 ppm plus Regulaid was the best in 2013

Discussion

Both Maxcel and Promalin are very effective at inducing branching on the varieties that we tested. The

number of feathers was a linear function of the number of sprays. With Fuji, we obtained up to 20 lateral branches with 5 sequential sprays (data not shown).

Our results with multiple applications of Maxcel have been very promising. For the coming years, it appears that the use of Maxcel if applied multiple times (4-5 sprays of 400ppm) will help US nurserymen and growers continue producing highly feathered apple trees. Promalin will have its place on the variety Macoun at 400-500ppm with 0.125% Regulaid.

Table 1. Effects of various plant growth regulator treatments on Golden Delicious apple trees in the nursery, 2012. All treatments included 0.125% Regulaid. Means within columns not followed by a common letter are significantly different at odds of 19 to 1 (Tukey's HSD).

Treatment	Number of sprays	Tree height (cm)	Total number of shoots	Average shoot length (cm)
Untreated control	0	181 ab	8.6 b	21.7 a
Promalin 500ppm	4	191 a	16.7 a	14.2 b
Maxcel 500ppm	4	187 ab	16.9 a	13.9 b
Maxcel 500ppm	5	188 ab	21.2 a	13.1 b
Maxcel 1000ppm	4	175 b	18.3 a	13.2 b
Maxcel 1000ppm	5	173 b	17.3 a	14.5 b



Figure 2. Phytotoxicity symptoms on Golden Delicious in 2012 at Adam County Nursery, Delaware.

Table 2. Effects of various plant growth regulator treatments on Macoun apple trees in the nursery, 2012. All treatments included 0.125% Regulaid. Means within columns not followed by a common letter are significantly different at odds of 19 to 1 (Tukey's HSD).

Treatment	Number of sprays	Tree height (cm)	Total number of shoots	Average shoot length (cm)
Untreated control	0	160 b	3.7 b	13.9 a
Promalin 500ppm	4	178 a	17.9 a	8.1 b
Maxcel 500ppm	3	169 ab	16.9 a	7.9 b
Maxcel 1000ppm	3	161 ab	18.7 a	8.0 b

Table 3. Effects of various plant growth regulator treatments on Macoun apple trees in the nursery, 2013. Regulaid, where included, was at 0.125%. Means within columns not followed by a common letter are significantly different at odds of 19 to 1 (Tukey's HSD).

Treatment ^y	Number of sprays	Tree height (cm)	Total number of shoots	Average shoot length (cm)
Untreated control	0	150 b	0.3 d	26 a
Promalin 400ppm	4	155 b	6.2 bc	22 a
Promalin 400ppm + Regulaid	4	171 a	10.9 a	19 a
Promalin 500ppm	4	161 ab	5.7 bc	25 a
Maxcel 300ppm	4	158 b	4.6 c	19 a
Maxcel 300ppm + Regulaid	4	161 ab	9.6 ab	19 a
Maxcel 400ppm	4	156 b	11.6 a	20 a
Maxcel 400ppm + Regulaid	4	156 b	9.7 ab	20 a
Maxcel 500ppm	4	153 b	13.6 a	18 a



Figure 3. A comparison of treatments on Daybreak Fuji in 2013 at Adams County Nursery, Delaware.



Figure 4. Macoun tree untreated or treated four times with 500 ppm Promalin plus 0.125% Regulaid in 2012.

Having highly branched trees with good height and caliper is of such critical importance to the success of newly planted high-density orchards that continued research with Maxcel and Promalin rates and timings under different growing conditions in the Mid-Atlantic and Northeast is very important. Trees grown in western North America likely will respond differently.

Recommendations for the Mid-Atlantic Region and New Jersey

For most varieties, our recommendation is Maxcel at 400 ppm with no added surfactant and given in 4 to 5 applications beginning at 35 inches of tree height and repeated at 10-14-day intervals (5-6 inches of new growth).

For Macoun, we recommend Promalin at 400-500

ppm plus 0.125% Regulaid. Make 4-5 applications beginning at 35 inches of tree height and repeat at 10-14-day intervals (5-6 inches of new growth).

For cooler climates with shorter growing seasons, like New York and New England, the growth rate is slower. Three to four applications may be enough if made at growth intervals of 5 to 6 inches. Maxcel at 500 ppm will be more appropriate under cooler conditions.

Future Work

In 2014, we are conducting two large experiments at Adams County Nursery in Delaware. One is a replicated rate study on Daybreak Fuji with Maxcel and Promalin. The second experiment is evaluating 13 other varieties with Maxcel and Promalin as well, utilizing a total of 750 trees.





Figure 5. At the end of the season, many measurements were taken to evaluate the effectiveness of treatments. This extensive work required a team of dedicated individuals, all master gardener volunteers. Dave Johnson (upper photo) is the Master Gardener Fruit Team leader for Rutgers Snyder Farm. Bottom photo from left to right, Master Gardener Volunteers: John Christopher, Dave Johnson, Mike Beese, Barbara Harris, Dave Lilien, and Carl Lewis.

Acknowledgement

The Authors appreciate the financial support by Adams County Nursery, The International Fruit Tree Association, the Northwest Nursery Improvement Institute, Rutgers University, the New Jersey Agricultural Experiment Station, and Mike Beese, Dave Johnson and numerous other Rutgers Master Gardeners who assisted with hundreds of hours of data collection.

Literature Cited

Terence L. Robinson, Brent Black, Win Cowgill, 2014. Use of multiple applications of Maxcel and Promalin to produce feathered trees. *Compact Fruit Tree* 47(1):23-28.

Duane W. Green, 1983. Use of Promalin to increase branching of young trees. *Fruit Notes* 48(2):20-22.



Figure 6. Left to right: John Baugher Sr., Shaun Callahan, John Baugher Jr., and Win Cowgill at Adams County Nursery, Delaware.

Eco-Friendly Insect, Disease, Bird Control

University/USDA tested

Stink Bug Traps

Brown Marmorated and Native Bugs

Insect Traps and Lures

Plum Curculio, Codling & Oriental Fruit Moth, Cranberry Pests, Many Others

Honey Bee Lure

Attract Bees - Increase Pollination

Predalure beneficial insect attractant

Oriental Beetle MD

Mating Disruption Fruit Crops & Ornamentals

Avex

Bird, Goose, Duck Repellent Berries, Fruits, Row Crops

Agri-Fos

Phytophthora, Pythium The "original" phosphite fungicide

AgB o Inc.

Committed to the Environment and Green Technology
Since 1990

P. 877-268-2020 agbio@agbio-inc.com www.AgBio-Inc.com





Since 1932

Best Berry Plants

- Strawberries, raspberries, blueberries, blackberries, asparagus and more!
- Where the pros go for plans and plants.
- Call for a free catalog and plasticulture guide!

41 River Road, South Deerfield, Massachusetts 01373



www.noursefarms.com 413.665.2658

Increasing Branching of Newly Planted Apple Trees

Jon Clements

Extension Educator, University of Massachusetts

In 2013, Valent BioSciences expanded the MaxcelTM label to include branching of nursery stock and young trees (Figure 1). In 2014, the 5,000 ppm rate was used in white latex indoor paint on just-planted 1/4 inch diameter "whip" Honeycrisp/B.9 apple trees at the UMass Cold Spring Orchard Research & Education Center. The paint was applied using a foam brush to




Figure 1. The Maxcel label noting its use to enhance branching.

the area where branching was desired after the buds had started to swell but just before bud break. By mid-June, the results were favorable; it appears the latex application was very effective at breaking branches (Figure 2) compared to the untreated trees (Figure 3). This type of Maxcel application is recommended before budbreak on pencil-diameter, one-year-old wood to promote branching.



Figure 2. Honeycrisp/B.9 tree treated at bud swell with 5,000 ppm Maxcel in white indoor latex paint.



Figure 3. Honeycrisp/B.9 tree not treated (control) but planted and photographed at the same time as the tree in Figure 2.

RIGHT...FROM THE START

Start your orchard RIGHT with Willow Drive Nursery's Proven PerformersTM. Call for a complete and updated inventory.

Calling us today can help you tomorrow!



APPLES

Braeburn

Mariri Red™ (Eve®) Kumeu Crimson®

Fuji

Aztec Fuji[®] (DT 2 Variety) Rising Sun[®] Morning Mist™ Early Fuji

Gala

Brookfield® Gala Buckeye® Gala Ultima Gala®

Other

Cameo® brand Granny Smith Honeycrisp™ It® Red Delicious

Morren's® Jonagored Supra™

Ruby Jon® RubyMac®

Smoothee® Golden

Spartan



CHERRIES

Chelan™ Attika® Skeena™ Rainier Benton™ Early Robin® Regina Sweetheart™ Tieton™ Bing EbonyPearl™ Rynbrandt BlackGold™ Lapins Schmidt Ulster BurgundyPearl™ Montmorency Selah™ WhiteGold™



PEARS

Concorde[™] Forelle
Bartlett Comice Golden Russet Bosc®

Columbia Red Anjou™ D'Anjou Red Clapp's Favorite



PEACHES

Stellar SeriesBrightstar $^{\text{TM}}$ Flamin' FuryPF 19-007Allstar $^{\text{®}}$ Coralstar $^{\text{®}}$ PF 8 BallPF 25Autumnstar $^{\text{®}}$ Earlystar $^{\text{TM}}$ PF Lucky 13PF 35-007



WILLOW DRIVE NURSERY, INC. 1-888-54-TREES

Ephrata, Washington · 1-888-548-7337 · Web Site: www.willowdrive.com

Influence of Spotted-wing Drosophila on Insecticide Use in NJ Blueberries

Dean Polk


New Jersey Agricultural Experiment Station


Insecticide use programs for NJ blueberries have been historically based on the control of blueberry maggot and other key pests. Early-season pests have consisted of cranberry fruitworm, prebloom, and then plum curculio immediately after the bees are removed. This was usually followed by a single treatment for cranberry fruitworm, and 1-2 treatments for aphids. Blueberry maggot (BBM) usually emerges in early June, which triggered BBM management for the remainder of the growing season. Since BBM was often not found in every field, insecticides could be targeted to only those areas that required BBM treatments. In recent years, up

dle of the summer. The fact that there is no tolerance for larvae in the fruit has led to the repeated use of broadspectrum insecticides. We collected grower insecticide use records for 8 farms between 2010 through 2013. A spray record analysis showed drastic changes in pest management practices resulting in increased number of applications, increased use of OP and carbamate materials, increased use of pyrethroids and spinosyns, and a decreased use of neonicotinoid materials. The number of applications increased by about 60%, and the amount of active ingredient more than doubled because of SWD presence. See Figures 1 and 2.

through 2010-11, this meant that most spray schedules were based on targeted applications of reduced risk insecticides, including neonicotinoid and spinosyn products.

With the arrival of the spotted-wing drosophila (SWD) in 2011-12, these practices changed. SWD cannot be controlled with neonicotinoid materials. The insect has a very short life cycle, and numbers rapidly increase during the mid-









Call 800-435-8733 today for best selection.

Stark Bro's Nurseries & Orchards Co.

PO Box 398 • Louisiana, MO 63353 • 573-754-5111 • Fax 573-754-3701

StarkBrosWholesale.com

Hormones and the Dropping of Fruit

Laurence Southwick Department of Pomology, Massachusetts State College

There is considerable popular interest in hormones at the present time with a tendency on the part of many to believe that Utopia for agriculture can be reached via the mysterious hormone route. This belief is based on the tremendous progress made in the past few years concerning the nature of hormones and their manifestly universal presence and importance wherever life exists.

Plant growth substances, variously called growth hormones, growth regulators, growth enzymes, phytohormones and auxins, are definitely known to occur in plants in very minute quantities. In fact, they are essential for normal cell enlargement and recently have been shown to influence fruiting processes. Further, it has been found that the substances which are essential for the growth of plant parts above the ground often inhibit root growth. The mechanism by which hormones "activate" growth is not well understood at the present time.

But what has this


to do with the dropping of fruit? Many fruit growers have heard of investigations regarding the use of hormones to prevent pre-harvest dropping. Scientists at the U.S. Horticultural Station at Beltsville, Maryland, have found that many plant substances have the faculty of delaying normal abscission (dropping) of various

plant organs including flowers, stems, petioles and even maturing apples. Recently, with several varieties, very low concentrations of growth substances applied as late sprays noticeably lessened the fruit drop. Other limited tests suggest the same result. We conducted similar tests this fall in two of our McIntosh blocks in Amherst. In one case, the results seemed favorable but, in the other,

they were inconclusive. It is just possible that we used too weak a concentration (0.0005%) and perhaps the material used (naphthalene acetamide) was applied a little late. However, on the basis of the results to date, we believe this new method should be following with not more than a moderate enthusiasm by most growers until more is known concerning its possibilities.

Probably additional data on this subject will be forthcoming during the next few months. Further, next season, we plan to carry on more extended experiments here at Massachusetts State College. If growers wish to try out hormone spraying on a small scale, a good plan

to follow is to select trees of the same age, with similar vigor and crop and leave alternate trees in the same row, for example, as check (untreated) trees. Otherwise, comparisons of any value will be difficult to make. (Additional information on these new materials will appear in the next issue of *Fruit Notes*.)



Should We Continue to Plant Trees on E.M. VII Rootstocks?

William J. Lord Department of Horticulture, University of Massachusetts

The most popular size controlling rootstock in Massachusetts has been E.M. VII. Now another series of rootstocks, Malling Merton (M.M.), are being tested at various experiment stations and in growers' orchards, some of which may have distinct advantages over E.M. rootstocks. An excellent discussion of the performance of trees on E.M. and M.M. rootstocks was given by Prof. Karl Brase, New York Agricultural Experiment Station, Geneva, New York, at the Massachusetts Fruit Growers' Annual Meeting held at Gardner in January. His report will appear in

At present we have had more experience with the performance of trees on E.M. rootstocks than on M.M. Although trees on M.M. are worthy of trial, trees on E.M. VII are suggested for extensive plantings of McIntosh, until more is known about the performance of M.M. stocks.

the Report of the 70th Annual

Fruit Growers' Association.

Meeting of the Massachusetts

Under some circumstances, trees on seedling rootstocks may be more desirable than those on size-controlling rootstocks, for example on exposed, windy sites. The need of size-controlling rootstocks for Red Delicious is doubtful. In many of our orchards, Red

Delicious aren't excessively large trees, since they lack the inherent vigor of McIntosh in this region. Some growers believe they can control the size of seedling trees by pruning without too much difficulty. McIntosh on seedling roots has produced yields of 1000-1800 bushels per acre in Massachusetts.

He feel the final decision rests with the grower. Our obligation is to supply the best possible information upon which the grower can make his decision.

gested that growers plant trees on M.M. instead of E.M. rootstocks to avoid virus problems. Prof. Karl Brase provides the following thoughts on this subject, and he is quoted directly as follows.

"Those

w h o

Recently, it has been sug-

a d v i s e
your growers
to use the MallingMerton rootstock
clones instead of certain
East Malling clones, because
the former do not carry a latent
virus or latent virus complexes, better
first inform themselves about latent virus
diseases in apple varieties and rootstocks.

Even among the M.M. group are clones that do not have a single mother plant that indexes virus free on certain indicators. The same is true of many of the so-called super strains of our well advertised varieties. There are latent virus diseases present in apple varieties as well as in certain apple rootstock clones. But before one condemns the use of certain rootstock clones, one has to prove that the latent virus actually affects growth, bearing, and the end product, namely the fruit.

Virus diseases that do harm, of course, should be eliminated - I am referring here to those with visible symptoms either on the tree or the fruit. As long as we do not know what effect the latent virus present in the rootstock has upon the variety, we should not condemn the use of the rootstock. We have used E.M. VII and others in the E.M.

group for more than 30 years successfully and shall continue to do so. As far as we know now, the latent virus present in E.M. VII has not affected in any way the varieties we have grown on this rootstock.

I see no advantage in the use of rootstocks free of latent virus if we have to grow on them varieties that carry also a virus in a latent stage.

It will take a number of years to prove or disprove that the latent virus present in E.M. VII is harmful and affects the performance of the trees. As long as this is unknown, growers should not be alarmed about it."



Life Without Alar

delays the beginning of fruit ripening, which results in

less-ripe fruit for storage, which then allows the fruit

to retain high quality for a longer time. In particular,

the apples stay firm for a longer period of time.

Wesley R. Autio Department of Plant & Soil Sciences, University of Massachusetts

In August, 1985, the controversy began Alternative approaches to the use of Alar must adregarding the safety of AlarTM residues on dress these benefits that Alar provides. Approaches apples. Since that time, many discussions will be divided into two types: short-term restor the Department of Plant & Soil Science VOTES and arguments have occurred. A recent practices and long-term changes. Short-"60 Minutes" program fueled the conterm practices include several actroversy by presenting claims made tivities, but in general these are by the Natural Resources Defense practices which may be Council that Alar is a potent carundertaken this seacinogen. The scientific data son to reduce the losses available certainly do not support that view; however, the aswidespread publicity likely sociwill eliminate Alar-use as a t e d a horticultural practice. with the Apple growers must non-use of look toward a fu-Alar. Longture without Alar. term changes In this article require more I shall prestime and capital ent some of to implement. It the ways must be understood that Alar provided a that may great deal of benefit, and help reno practices are real alternatives; they only assist duce the need in reducing the losses associated with non-use of Alar. for Alar. Before discussing specific activities, we **Short-Term Practices** must be clear on what benefits are received from Alar. The first and **Pruning** foremost function of Alar is to act as a "stop-drop." This function allows a grower to Several Fruit Notes articles [52(3):7harvest most of his crop before it drops to the ground. 8; 53(1):12-13; 53(2):1; and 53(3):1-2] have By allowing fruit to remain on the tree longer they are discussed the effects of pruning, particularly able to color more fully, giving higher grade fruit. One summer pruning, on the production of high quality fruit. reason why fruit stay on the tree longer is that Alar Removal of upright, hanging, and shade-causing wood

in the summer can result in a dramatic increase in light

penetration, fruit coloration, and packout. Additionally,

it causes earlier coloration and thus allows earlier har-

vest, hopefully reducing some of the need for Alar while

not reducing average fruit quality. Dormant pruning also is important, specifically in improving light penetration to the fruit. For more specific information about summer pruning practices, see *Fruit Notes* 53(2):1, and for more information about dormant pruning to improve packout, see *Fruit Notes* 53(1):12-13.

Chemical Treatments

There are no chemical alternatives to Alar. However, there are two chemicals that can be used to expand the harvest season: Ethrel and NAA. The problem with both chemicals is that they may render the fruit unusable for long-term storage by advancing ripening. Ethrel is used to advance the harvest season by breaking down to ethylene and triggering ripening. Treatment with Ethrel results in marketable fruit early in the season, but also fruit that probably must be consumed immediately, because they are too ripe to store. NAA is a "stop drop." It will significantly delay premature fruit drop, but it also advances fruit ripening. NAA can expand the season, but treated fruit must be sold relatively quickly. Details on the use of both of these chemicals are given in the New England Apple Spray Guide.

Harvest and Storage Management

Without Alar the fruit in storage probably will be riper than what growers are used to. To maintain fruit quality throughout the storage period, the fruit must be handled with greater attention to details than if they had been treated with Alar. This additional care includes more accurate attention to cooling and to the rapid establishment and maintenance of optimal temperature and atmosphere conditions, as well as to application of the appropriate postharvest chemical treatments. No longer will sloppy storage management be acceptable, since the fruit will show the quality of storage management more readily than before. In addition to storage management, the intensity of harvest management must be increased. Growers must accurately manage their harvest so that the most appropriate fruit are placed in long-term storage. This practice may include the more frequent use of the starch-iodine test for maturity assessment.

Increased Labor

Increasing harvest labor so that more fruit can be picked in a shorter period of time is one way to reduce the impact of the non-use of Alar; however, growers must be able to handle the increased quantity of fruit. Specifically, the orchard operation must be able to move the fruit quickly from the orchard to the storage, stack them in the storage, cool them quickly, and seal the storage (if CA is used) if the increased labor is going to pay off. Beside the availability of additional labor, one problem which may prevent this practice from being feasible is the size of the refrigeration plant. If there is not adequate refrigeration to cool the high quantity of fruit being placed in the storage per day then the additional labor is not truly reducing the impact of the non-use of Alar.

Long-term Changes

Changes in Cultivars

One of the characteristics of the New England apple industry which has increased the problems related to the loss of Alar is the large proportion (60 %) of the production devoted to McIntosh. A relatively simple way of reducing the need for Alar is to replace McIntosh with other cultivars which allow an expansion of the harvest season or do not require a chemical "stop-drop." Several cultivars have potential in New England, such as Gala, Mutsu, Libe1ty, Jonagold, and Red Fuji. Older cultivars like Cortland and Macoun also may deserve a greater role in the industry. Obviously, several years are required to change cultivars, and several years are required to develop markets for new cultivars.

Changes in Strains

Several McIntosh strains are now available. Marshall McIntosh has been the most planted strain over the last few years, primarily because of its higher coloring potential. Additional benefits which come from Marshall McIntosh are given by its earlier coloring and earlier ripening. It colors approximately 10 days prior to Rogers McIntosh and ripens approximately a week earlier. These two differences allow an advance-

ment of the McIntosh harvest season without the kind of quality loss found with the use of a chemical such as Ethrel. However, planting entirely to Marshall McIntosh will not reduce the losses associated with the non-use of Alar, because the entire harvest season will be earlier and just as concentrated as with a standard strain of McIntosh. Future orchards should have a mix of Marshall McIntosh with other strains to allow the maximum expansion of the harvest season.

Pioneer Mac (recently named by Adams County Nursery) technically is not a strain of McIntosh but actually is a seedling of McIntosh and thus a new cultivar; however, its fruit are virtually indistinguishable from McIntosh and undoubtedly will be accepted as McIntosh. Its reported advantage over standard McIntosh is that it ripens 2 weeks later. In 1988 at the University of Massachusetts Horticultural Research Center we established a replicated trial to compare Pioneer Mac to Marshall McIntosh and Rogers McIntosh. When information is available it will be reported through *Fruit Notes*. The benefits of Pioneer Mac may be great, but as with Marshall McIntosh it will be necessary to include earlier-ripening strains of McIntosh to provide a true expansion of the harvest season.

Rootstocks

Changes in rootstocks must occur to give benefits in two areas. First, more dwarfing rootstocks must be used. Large plantings of McIntosh as semi-dwarf trees will not be feasible to maintain without Alar. Growers must consider moving into the dwarf category, using M.9, M.9 EMLA, M.26, Mark, and possibly Ott.3 as rootstocks. Trees on these rootstocks are much easier to prune, require less spray material, and most impor-

tantly, in the context of this article, are much easier to harvest than are semi-dwarf or standard trees. Nearly all the fruit are harvestable from the ground, and the harvesting process can be done more rapidly. Because of high light penetration into the canopy, more of the fruit are highly colored, making selective harvesting less of a priority while improving packout. For more general information on these dwarfing rootstocks see *Fruit Notes* [51(4):22-24; 52(1):1-4; 53(1):4-7; 53(3):3-6; and 54(1):11-15].

The second potential benefit of a change in rootstocks is their effect on ripening. For three years we have been conducting research at the University of Massachusetts Horticultural Research Center on the effects of rootstocks on apple fruit quality and ripening [see *Fruit Notes* 52(2):5-10], and have found that Mark can delay ripening of Delicious and McIntosh fruit by as much as 5 days when compared to fruit from trees on M.26 EMLA and Ott.3. The use of rootstock to expand the harvest season should complement the use of different strains to expand further the McIntosh harvest season.

Conclusions

We do not have any easy answers to the question of what an apple grower can do to reduce the losses associated with the non-use of Alar. Short-term approaches, obviously, are stop-gap measures which may somewhat reduce the losses. The long-term changes will take time and capital to implement but should go far to eliminate the need for Alar. The New England apple industry has rough seas ahead, but if growers look to the future and begin to make some changes, it should be able to weather this storm.





Proven Performers



THINK LONG TERM

THE EFFORT YOU MAKE TODAY WILL REWARD YOU IN THE FUTURE.

LOOKING FOR TREES? Make us your first call.

BECAUSE YOUR TIME IS VALUABLE – Let us manage the details.

LET OUR HIGHLY QUALIFIED STAFF WORK FOR YOU!

DON'T BE LEFT OUT!

BOOK FUTURE GROWER CONTRACTS NOW! TIMING IS CRITICAL!

800.424.2765 www.summittreesales.com



SUMMIT TREE SALES

REPRESENTING THE COUNTRY'S FINEST NURSERIES







Ian Molvin

Katio Schul







Dawn Melvi

Wally Heuser

Wanda Heuser Galo

New Jersey News

Holtzhauser Family Receives Distinguished Century Farm Award

Jerry Frecon

New Jersey Agricultural Experiment Station, Retired

The Holtzhauser Family received a Distinguished Century Farm Award at the New Jersey Agricultural Society Gala on March 28, 2014. The Holtzhausers have been growing and marketing peaches in Mullica Hill for almost 120 years.



The Holtzhauser Family- L to R -Theresa, Tom III, Tom Jr., Jerry Frecon (nominator), Stacey and Melissa.

Joyce and Richard Mood Awarded the Tony Russo Farm Marketing Award

Jerry Frecon

New Jersey Agricultural Experiment Station, Retired

New Jersey Agricultural Society Luncheon at the 2014 Agricultural Convention in Atlantic City on February 5, 2014. Joyce and Richard Mood were presented the Tony Russo Farm Marketing Award in recognition of their long and successful work in Direct and U-Pick Marketing. Richard Mood is former President of NJSHS and with Joyce are past recipients of the NJSHS Outstanding Grower Award.



Front row from left to right: Jerry Verrico-Vice President of the NJ Ag Society, LeVon Lacy -Miss Salem County and former NJ Peach Queen, Richard, Joyce and Patty Mood owners of Mood Farm Market. Back row from left to right: Doug Fisher - NJ Secretary of Agriculture and Jerry Frecon, Director NJ Agricultural Society.

Jany and Kline Honored for Distinguished Service to Agriculture

Lynn Richmond
New Jersey Department of Agriculture

Steven R. Jany, a Mercer County grain farmer, and Dr. Wesley Kline, Rutgers Cooperative Extension of Cumberland County Agricultural Agent and associate professor at Rutgers University were honored February 5 with Distinguished Service Citations to New Jersey Agriculture at the State Agricultural Convention held in Atlantic City.

"Steve Jany has a great love for New Jersey agriculture and has spent the last 50 years in leadership roles that have improved the state's agriculture industry for all farmers," said New Jersey Secretary of Agriculture Douglas H. Fisher. "Steve is regarded as a consensus



builder, organizer and mentor to younger farmers."

Jany began his career in agriculture in 1965 when he worked at a local farm. Four years later, he graduated from the Princeton High School Business Program and immediately got a job at Rustin Farms in Princeton Junction. In 1971 he left there for Simonson Farms only to return to Rustin as a partner in 1973. The farm is now a thriving 2,000-acre spread that includes grain and Christmas trees.

Steve has served his community for many years taking leadership roles in various agricultural committees and boards across the state. He is currently Second Vice President of New Jersey Farm Bureau and also served as treasurer and county director. He was a member of the State Board of Agriculture from 1997-2002 serving as its president for one year. Steve also served as the President of the Mercer County Board of Agriculture from 2001 to 2003. He sat on the Cook College Board of Managers. In addition, Steve has served as an Officer of the Grain and Forage Producers Association of New Jersey, the New Jersey Soybean Board of Directors and a member of the New Jersey DEP's Water Supply Advisory Board and New Jersey Christmas Tree Growers Association.

Dr. Wesley Kline graduated from Salem College and earned his Masters and Doctorate at Cornell University. He spent time as a horticulturist in the Peace Corps and worked in Somalia, Ecuador and El Salvador. He came to Rutgers in 1996, where he works with growers and agribusiness personnel to the betterment of their livelihoods.

Working with the New Jersey Department of Agriculture, Dr. Kline helped set up a Food Safety Task Force and has trained more than 5,000 farmers on the Food Safety Modernization Act and third-party audits.

"Serving New Jersey agriculture is not just a job for Dr. Kline but a passion," said New Jersey Secretary of Agriculture Douglas H. Fisher. "He has tirelessly worked to educate and assist farmers in Cumberland County and throughout the state on food safety and



Left to right: Secretary Fisher, Wes Kline, and Robert Swanekamp, President of the NJ State Board of Agriculture.

other topics."

Kline coordinates the food safety extension training program in New Jersey. And, he was instrumental in the implementation of an educational program in Cumberland County concerning commercial fresh-market and processing vegetable production and herbs.


Kline is a frequent invited speaker at conventions, conferences and meetings and has produced several well-respected publications, fact sheets, manuals,

newsletters, websites and CD's.

Since 1932, the New Jersey State Board of Agriculture has awarded the prestigious Citation for Distinguished Service to Agriculture to men and women who have given unselfishly of their time and talents to the advancement and betterment of the agricultural industry and rural life in New Jersey. This award is given to recognize and

honor those individuals who have made outstanding contributions of public service to New Jersey agriculture. Organizations who qualify to send delegates to the New Jersey State Agricultural Convention may nominate a state farmer for the award. For more information on the Distinguished Service to Agriculture Award, visit www.nj.gov/agriculture/about/sba/guidelin.html.





• PLU Stickers Available
Upon Request

- Low in Calories
- Nutritious and Delicious
- Exceptional Flavor





"Peaches from Circle M Farms just plain taste better. Their size and color are what your customers want, and their flavor is the icing on the cake.

That's because our orchards are tended with care and attention, and their fruit is left on the tree to ripen naturally until they reach their optimum sweetess. Then they are picked by hand, taste-tested by me to ensure quality, and packed gently into

25 lb. volume boxes for shipping. Single layer cartons are available upon request.

Circle M's 30 varieties of peaches and nectarines are known for their sweetness and their luscious color. They look as good as they taste.

Discriminating customers appreciate our white flesh peaches because of their lower acid levels and supremely sweet taste.

Add it all up: Peaches from Circle M Farms are fresher and sweeter, picked by hand when their time has come, and packed with care for a longer shelf life.

Yellow, white and donut peaches and yellow and white nectarines are available early July to late September."

Call us at 856 856 207-4542 or 609 381-6465. Fax your order to 856 878-0404





Made from fresh New Jersey Peaches "Peach Cider Drink, Peach Salsa, Peach Preserves"

Santo John Maccherone circlemfarmsllc@gmail.com

Circle M Farms 88 Sandy Ridge Road Salem, N.J. 08079

